

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,025	11/03/2003	Stephen Bennett Elliott	1119-003	2143
	7590 11/29/2007 TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
100 REGENCY	FOREST DRÍVE	BOCKELMAN, MARK		
SUITE 160 CARY, NC 27518			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3766	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>/</b> 1	9
	~

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/699,025	ELLIOTT, STEPHEN BENNETT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from c, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Second 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2 or 2 o	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
·	.x parto quayro, 1000 0.5. 11, 10				
Disposition of Claims  4)	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attach mant(a)					
Attachment(s)  1)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

10/699,025 Art Unit: 3766

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3, 5, 7, 9, 11-15, 17-20, 22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vachillo USPN 5,997,482. Vaschillo provides a feedback monitor that display current heart rates and would thus show graphically all changes in heart rate including maximum heart rates, minimum heart rates and transitions from maximums to minimums and also provides phase angles between breathing rate and heart rate at all such heart rates. The disclosure also suggests that breathing conditioning is used wherein a patient attempts to minimize phase differences between the breathing cycle and the heart rate cycle to match the peaks and troughs and thus the signal is one telling the patient when to inhale and exhale. The peaks on the rate monitor as well as the phase angle are thus considered to be the signal to indicate breathing changes. The feedback device also provides a feedback phase difference angle which is considered to be an offset value. All values are capable of being programmable and thus the term "programmable" alone offers no patentable distinction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number:

10/699,025 Art Unit: 3766

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3, 5, 7, 9, 11-15, 17-20, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stabler et al USPN 6,836,681. Stabler provides the patient with a heart rate variability monitor that shows peaks and troughs as well as heart rates that are offset from the maximum and minimums. Such values are capable of being programmed into a computer making them programmable values. Applicant's claims merely provide for a patient to watch the monitor, the claims do not specify a specific instruction or action of the patient to inhale or exhale only that the monitor trace indicates such is the time to be done. To have had the patient watch his own heart rate variability being monitored would have been obvious in view of Stabler so as to provide feedback to the patient to better control such.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9-14-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In Varshillo, the patient watches a heart rate monitor. This is all that is required by applicant's claim 1. The heart rate monitor shows heart rates for each cycle and indicate to the patient when it speeds up by a shortening of the ecg trace and slows down by a lengthening. Applicant provides nothing different. If the heart rate increase

Application/Control Number:

10/699,025 Art Unit: 3766

from one cycle to the next a transition from a minimum has is conveyed because it is a minimum for that particular time frame. In addition, all of the steps of applicant's claim 1 are met by using the reference signal disclosed in Varshillo in that all phases of the heartbeat are detected, minimums and maximums. Once the resonant frequency is found the graph provides a depiction of the proper breathing points by an indicator sinusoid plot. The claims still do not require limitations that distinguish applicant's method over Vashillo.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10/699,025

Art Unit: 3766

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Layno can be reached on (571) 272 -4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**MWB** 

November 26, 2007

Mulbred Mark Bockelman DRIMARY EXAMINER